

The PDF (Portable Document Format) is an altered image of a digitized document. The smoking guns I've shown do not directly relate to changing the place of birth, but any evidence of falsification/alteration can only be assumed to be rare instances where the manipulator made small mistakes that were detectable with very close examination. Since most, or all, of the text was originally in a single layer with an invisible background, like text on celluloid, any entry could be easily erased and something substituted in its place without disturbing any of the image of the original paper page since it had been deleted (in the Records Department's digitization process) in order to save on memory and reduce the file size considerably. When that digitization took place RAM and hard drive capacity was much smaller and much more expensive, and also, computers were much slower.

The image used to create the PDF was the product of the digitizing of the Hawaiian birth records so they could have digital backups, which could be shared with authorized Federal agencies, (and could be printed on an official-looking background in place of the white paper of the original form).

The statements of the Hawaiian officials reveal one of two possibilities. Either the original unaltered birth document states Hawaii as the birth location, as they claim, or it has been altered in its digital form to state that. That would either have been done in Hawaii or by someone connected to the White House. Assuming that their statements that Obama was born in Hawaii are false, then it would follow that if they were willing to lie for him, then they would also be willing to facilitate the falsification of their "digital abstract" record/image, (but not the original hard copy). The PDF would not be possible without access to images of original Hawaiian birth certificates. Since he has never shown any Hawaiian hard copies to the public, (or even the one he would have inherited from his mother), one must assume they show something different from his PDF, OR... they never existed and the whole story of producing and obtaining them is a complete lie.

Quote: "The HI Dept of Health...has consistently stood behind the document."
What document? The PDF (a digital file), is not a document and they have not, in any legal sense, stood behind it. So you must be referring to the two certified copies that they claim they produced, but which the public has not been allowed to see. I too will stand behind them, now please let me see them. My current guess is that the Hawaiian officials shared their image file with the White House, (-the one which they supposedly used to print the two never-shown certified copies), along with image copies of other birth certificates.

"ALL real evidence that has turned up all supports every bit of data that we already were exposed to when his campaign released his COLB and all matches what he wrote about in his autobiographies." Don't confuse statements with "data". Statements need corroboration, data is generally assumed to be cold hard facts. I'm unaware of any cold hard facts that exist and prove anything relevant to the suspicions that exist.

"NOTHING points to ANY other origin for his birth other than Honolulu, HI." That is absolutely...True. But also, nothing concrete points to Hawaii as his birth place either since nothing released so far is unaltered, and no hard-copy has yet been shown.

