

Obama's Unanswered Citizenship Questions

"No person...except a natural born citizen, is eligible to the office of the President,..." US Constitution, Article II, Section 1. Much has been written regarding the clear proof that Barack Obama is not a natural born U.S. citizen since his father was not an American citizen but instead was a foreign visitor, which is clearly outside of the definition of what a natural born citizen is. Mario Apuzzo and Leo Donofrio, as well as myself and others have written extensively on the subject, conveying the irrefutable reasons why someone with a foreign father is automatically ineligible to the office of the President, and what we've written isn't based on speculation or unknown facts, but rather, on facts that *are* known. Facts stated plainly on the counterfeit images of supposedly existing official Hawaiian birth documents, as well as in his autobiographic writings.

The fact that an alien was the father of Barack Obama, not an American citizen, lead to him basing his alleged eligibility on the assumption that he was born in the United States rather than on having been born to an American mother and an American father. He was relying on the baseless assumption that being a US citizen via the 14th Amendment makes one a natural born citizen and therefore eligible to be President. But there is a huge problem with that assumption.

It is due to the fact that no one whose citizenship is dependent on the 14th Amendment has ever been eligible to be the President since it was written for those who are not natural born citizens but rather, have an alien parent, or parents. When it was written, slaves and their children faced discrimination due to not having a legally defined right to U.S. citizenship, and also, children of resident domiciled foreigners were in a legal limbo since it wasn't legally determined whether or not they were to be considered citizens of the United States or of the nation of their parents former lives. While those issues needed to be settled, what did not need to be settled, and never has been, is the citizenship of natural born Americans. It was not addressed in the Constitution, nor any amendment, nor any legislation, nor any court ruling because it is a fact automatically. It is a fact based on natural law, The Law of Nations, English tradition, Western tradition, Roman tradition, and human tradition. It is "a given", -a fundamental fact based on political birthright.

But recently the White House has severed its connection to pro-Obama web pages that extolled the fact that the 14th Amendment declares that Barack Obama is a United States citizen and therefore is eligible to be President. It must be assumed that someone with a brain in their head finally realized that 14th Amendment citizenship precludes one from being eligible to be the President. So they had that connection scrubbed from all the previous White House links. Evidence of radical alteration is seen in the fact that the original Obama campaign website "fight the smears".com (<http://web.archive.org/web/20100102233242/http://fightthesmears.com/articles/5/birthcertificate.html>) now re-directs to: <http://my.democrats.org/page/content/president-obama-birth-certificate>

-and most of the page is newer than the date he released the pdf image of a long-form birth certificate on April 27, 2011 yet the copyright year for the page only extends to 2010. What is the Obama camp trying to hide? It is these words from the original web page:

FACTCHECK.ORG "When Barack Obama Jr. was born on Aug. 4, 1961, in Honolulu, Kenya was a British colony, still part of the United Kingdom's dwindling empire. As a Kenyan native, Barack Obama Sr. was a British subject whose citizenship status was governed by The British Nationality Act of 1948. That same act governed the status of Obama Sr.'s children. Since Sen. Obama has neither renounced his U.S. citizenship nor sworn an oath of allegiance to Kenya, his Kenyan citizenship automatically expired on Aug. 4, 1982."

This statement means he was born with dual-citizenship, and no person with dual-citizenship is eligible to be the President, and that fact has begun to be recognized, so they had to re-think and retreat from that fact and hide it.

So since he isn't eligible merely for being a U.S. citizen, then what is the basis of his eligibility? Well...actually there is none. He must rely on the pretense that since he is a citizen, and all American citizens can grow up to be the President (?), therefore he is just like everyone else. The problem is that he isn't just like everyone else. Most of us don't personally know a lot of citizens who had a foreign father, and those who do are not natural born citizens like you and I and nearly all the people we know. They are Constitutionally different. Their citizenship is founded on statutes and court decisions, not on an unalienable incontestable right.

But the situation regarding Obama's eligibility gets even worse. No one who was *not even born* as a U.S. citizen is eligible to be President, and that is a fact that the public *isn't* deceived about, and a fact that is behind all of the intrigue surrounding Obama's birth documents, (-documents that do not really exist or else they would be viewable by the public, but instead, the only things viewable by the public are computer manufactured look-alike images of such documents, images that are not the result of a scan of real paper documents but the result of digitally composited images using essential parts of various documents from which text was extracted in order to create the counterfeits).

The far ranging facts related to Obama's two birth-document images are inexplicable, unless one is willing to deduce the logical conclusion that can and should be drawn from what is known. Listed below are many of the reasons why nothing but mystery surrounds them, but first, lets just cut to the chase. The conclusion that one will come to sooner or later as a result of the fact that he has released two manufactured fakes, is that he can't show real Hawaiian birth documents because either the hand-written affidavit in the Hawaiian records office, (which accompanies a typed form), reveals that he was born in Canada, or because his mother failed to provide credible documentation as to where he was actually born and therefore no long form certificate could be produced. Either of these possibilities, would be something he would have to hide in order to avoid talk and action of impeachment, or the loss of credibility needed to be qualified to run again.

Lack of evidence that he was born in the U.S. results in the presence of the possibility that he wasn't. And that makes possible a scenario in which his mother returned to Seattle (her home of five formative teen years) to assuage her homesickness, intending to give birth there and give the child up for adoption, but for some unknown good reason did it in Vancouver instead of Seattle. That is a scenario which would make all of the evidence of forgery surrounding the phony Certification of Live Birth jpg. image, and the eventual Certificate of Live Birth pdf image make sense.

The accepted fact that she was in Seattle two weeks after the purported birth date of her son, and not with her bigamist husband in Hawaii, is evidence that she liked Seattle, and probably had close friends there, and would have wanted to spend some time with them after being away for a year in Hawaii, -along with preferring to attend college there.

Who has proof that she was not in Seattle near the time of her delivery? No one. Who has evidence that she would not have made the normal decision for her situation and chosen adoption, (which she found she couldn't follow through on)? The "proof" that he was born in Vancouver is no more or less verified than that he was born in Hawaii. Also, there exists no evidence that it is not possible nor that it is false. Can't prove it...but no one can disprove it.

These facts, and the ones below, lead one eventually to realize that Obama has a very good reason for not showing a real examinable hard-copy that Hawaii will certify as being from their Health Dept. He simply cannot present evidence that does not exist, and the reason it does not exist is because his birth was not on U.S. soil where evidence *would* exist if that were so. That is the conclusion that one will eventually find incapable when seeking an explanation for what is known and unknown.

If he were not born on U.S. soil, then he would not have been a U.S. citizen at birth according to U.S. law at that time because his mother was slightly too young to be able to pass her citizenship to her off-spring if born outside the U.S. If he was not a U.S. citizen at birth, then it would be clear to all that he could not be considered to be a natural born citizen. Then he would be viewed as a charlatan, an imposter who bamboozled everyone, -except his fellow Democrat leaders who knew the truth but hid it from the American people, and certified him anyway.

So the birth certificate issue matters, where he was born matters, the stakes couldn't be higher because birth outside the U.S. would knock him right out of the arena of eligibility for the Presidency. While the fact that he is not a natural born citizen is quite clear, there is little to no hope of blocking him from running again based on that ineligibility because people (including authorities and judges) are either totally ignorant regarding the subject, or know the truth but don't want to open that Pandora's Box, -or want him to remain as President anyway. But if people knew, or were aware of the highly suspicious lack of facts regarding his place of birth, and the fact that his presented "birth certificate" images were both fakes, then they would insist that his eligibility be positively proven before allowing him on their state ballots. That would be the end of his candidacy because he is unable to prove that he was born in the United States, -or else he would have done so already, instead of producing fake evidence.

[Why not birth in Kenya? Because his father was probably born in the Mombasa hospital and has the same name so it's true that Obama (Sr.) was born in Mombasa; his father was in Hawaii at the the time of the birth; his mother would have had no reason, nor financial wherewithal to make such a long costly trip; and his mother probably had no U.S. passport at just 18 years of age because she never needed one. Kenyans could be easily confused and self-deluded by the fact that there were two Barack Obamas, and prefer to just go with the feeling that what's true of the father is true of the son also.]

A.R. Nash Aug. 2011 <http://obama--nation.com>

Read the Catalog of Evidence at:
<http://puzo1.blogspot.com/2010/05/catalog-of-evidence-concerned-americans.html>