

Can Children of Mass Murderers Be President?

If any mass murdering dictator visited the United Nations in New York and their 8 1/2 month pregnant wife give birth prematurely, would their child be a native-born citizen of the United States? No, because the head of a foreign government cannot father an American citizen.

But what if the mass murderer was not the head of state (it never is) but his son, or some unofficial mercenary that he hired to do his dirty work? Could his child be an American citizen? Again, the answer is "no", because like the head of a foreign government, or any of his ambassadors, or consuls, or diplomats, or his citizens and students visiting or studying abroad, they are not subject to the full spectrum of U.S. federal jurisdiction which is required by the 14th Amendment in order for citizenship to be conveyed.

But if his mass murdering son had done his dirty deeds beneath the radar of U.S. intelligence and thus appeared to be a normal person, could he request permission to remain in the U.S. and be granted it? Certainly, as long as he had a justifiable reason, like attending a university and graduate school.

If he had a son in the U.S. during his college years, would it be a U.S. citizen and eligible to be the Commander-in-Chief? No, because he still remained subject to the jurisdiction of his own government and not that of the U.S. He could not be required to register with the Selective Service nor ordered to not visit a place like Cuba or North Korea or Iran.

After having lived in the U.S. for nearly a decade he might request official permanent U.S. residency, and be granted it. If he had a son in the U.S. while a permanent resident, would his son be an American? Yes, because all permanent residents are subject to the full jurisdiction of the federal government and can be forced to serve during times of war or emergency. They are very much like citizens but without the right to vote or serve on juries, or in government, -or receive top security clearances.

But if he went through the process of naturalization, passed the test, and took the Oath of Allegiance and Renunciation, and became an American citizen, -could he then be the Commander-in-Chief? No, because he was not born as an American. No naturalized citizen, -who was born and raised in a foreign land, among a foreign people with foreign culture and foreign political ideology can be entrusted with all the power of the United States Armed Forces, including the nuclear weapons systems which could destroy the human race.

But could the son he had before he became an American grow up and one day be the Commander-In-Chief? No, because even though he would be an American, he would not be 100% American because he was born to a foreigner with an alien background, coloring him with foreign alienage, -like the presence of dye, or tea in pure clear water. It's almost pure water, but any coloring means you can't trust what it is because you can't be sure what it contains that may be unseen.

Whereas pure 100% water can be trusted to not contain any foreign contaminant. Children born to American citizens are like the purified water, they have no taint, nor tinge of foreign alienage. They are 100% American. They can be entrusted with the power of Commander-In-Chief. But children of immigrants, along with naturalized citizens, cannot because they may harbor a secret allegiance to their foreign father's heritage, culture, religion, nation, or ideology. Their patriotism and sole allegiance to America could always be an act, as a part of a long term conspiracy. Like sleeper agents. That possibility would be very real if the framers of the Constitution hadn't had the fear of such a thing on their minds and therefore required that the Commander-in-Chief be no one who was not 100% American. He must be a "natural born citizen", -all others are ineligible.

That means that not all citizens can be the Commander-In-Chief. Those who are naturalized citizens cannot. That is probably where your knowledge ends. What you now need to learn is that not all naturalized citizens undergo the naturalization process. Some become naturalized citizens automatically. Historically, as soon as a minister signs the marriage certificate for an American groom and a foreign bride, she automatically became an American citizen, as did her children once they were adopted.

Similarly, as soon as a foreign father became a naturalized American, his wife and foreign-born children automatically became U.S. citizens as well.

That was and is standard naturalization policy, except that since women gained the right to vote, foreign brides no longer automatically receive American citizenship. We now live in a different age.

But there's one more important situation of automatic naturalization, and that is via birth to legal immigrants. The American-born children of legal immigrants are not left out in the citizenship cold due to being born to immigrants who are not Americans. Instead, by the grace of the American people who ratified the 14th Amendment, they are granted citizenship from birth via automatic naturalization at birth. They have no natural right to be considered Americans, but it wouldn't be fair or practical to ascribe solely foreign citizenship to them. So they get to be Americans from the day they are born.

But that does not make them natural Americans because natural Americans are born only to Americans, -not foreigner. No one who is born to a foreigner, regardless of possessing naturalized citizenship from birth, is constitutionally qualified to be the Commander-in-Chief. He must be an American *by* birth, not simple *from* birth.

An American *by* birth is anyone born to American parents. An American *from* birth is anyone born to a foreigner.

The citizenship of children of legal immigrants is identical to that of natural citizens, almost. They, including American-born children of mass-murdering tyrants, as well as naturalized citizens, can command the Dept. of Defense as its Secretary, -or as the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs. They can be the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, or the Federal Bureau of Investigation, or the Defense Intelligence Agency, or the National Security Agency, or the Homeland Security Dept., or be Secretary of State, or a Justice of the Supreme Court, but the one and only thing that they cannot be is the Commander-in-Chief of the United States Armed Forces.

If the office of the President were separate from the Commander-in-Chief, then they could be the President also, but a historically important lesson was learned from the Republic of Rome and its experience with Julius Caesar. The Romans hated dictators, Kings, and Emperors because they had been the slaves and servants of the Etruscan Kings for ages. One they rebelled and overthrew their oppressors, they maintained a Republic ruled by the democratically elected Senate of Rome, but their very existence was threatened when the powerful General Caesar, after 8 years of foreign conquest, marched his massive army to Rome itself and sought to be declared supreme leader. His goal was to consolidate the legislative and executive power of the civilian government under military authority with himself as the head, -the dictator who could get necessary things done. The same motive for the democratic German Republic to give Hitler absolute power. But we've learned from history that ulterior motives always lie just below the surface.

Executives have enough problems of power going to their heads, but give them absolute military power and what do you get? Just consider any and all of the powerful dictators of the 20th Century and beyond. Not one of them had a conscience or any compassion for anyone but himself and his own blood.

The founding fathers didn't want to see such a pattern possible in America and that was one of the reasons, -perhaps the main reason that George Washington chose to set a pattern of not serving more than two terms as President and Commander-in-Chief.

People who hold the reins of enormous power don't always become dictators over night, -sometimes it's a slow gradual process. That pattern has been repeated over and over as dictators who overthrew tyrants eventually became tyrants themselves.

And it doesn't just happen to individuals, -it can also happen to political parties, as in Mexico where one party ruled like kings for over 70 years. Similarly with Russia and the Communist Party.

The founding fathers felt that only the elected leader of the nation should have the power of the military at his command, because he could be voted out of office for any unconstitutional abuse. But simply being replaceable was not a sufficient safeguard against the kind of abuse they feared as a worst-case scenario. They feared the

potential for great national harm from one born in America to a foreigner, raised by a foreign father in a foreign land to be a loyal subject of a foreign King, -namely the King of England.

For that reason they required that the Commander-in-Chief, apart from all other national high offices, be 100% American with no direct connection to anything foreign. No foreign alienage was to cloud the purity of his sole allegiance to America, her people and her Constitution. His American loyalty had to be 100% undivided, and so he had to be a native American son born to native American citizens, -correction, he could also be born to native-ized, i.e., natural-ized parents. They trusted in the sincerity of immigrants who became naturalized Americans.

But he could not be born to mere immigrants because the naturalized citizenship that results from having foreign parents is untrust-worthy for the power of an office that could destroy our country, (and civilization as we know it). Just as we do not trust the keys and codes for launching nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles & bombers to foreigners, nor to their children, so we also certainly do not entrust that authority to the one who gives them their orders, i.e. the Commander-in-Chief.

But we have unconstitutionally done just that. We have elected and placed into office the son of a temporary foreign visitor. A father who was a foreigner through and through. Born in a foreign nation with a foreign culture, and foreign heritage. And even worse, he was not even an American immigrant which at least would have made his son a constitutional 14th Amendment citizen. Instead his son obtained U.S. citizenship under highly questionable circumstances and the only authority behind it was some obscure immigration statute. No stretch of the imagination can construe his citizenship to be 100% natural American citizenship due to possessing foreign citizenship via birth to a foreigner, and not having natural American citizenship by being fathered by an American.

His father could be an American Communist or American Nazi and he would nevertheless be eligible to be the President and Commander-in-Chief, but the line, the only line, was drawn at foreign parentage. That's the limitation written in stone in the Constitution, and that's the undeniable line that was crossed by the American voters, the American Congress, and the American Supreme Court, whose Chief Justice swore an unconstitutional candidate into the office of the President and Commander-in-Chief. And they intend to commit that same crime against the Constitution for a second time if enough voter fraud makes it possible.

by A.R. Nash March 2012 <http://obama--nation.com>