

## The Bastard Presidency of Barack Obama

~Natural Citizenship vs Legal Citizenship~  
(the black man/ hermaphrodite analogy)

Are you an American from birth? If the answer is "yes", what significant information can we deduce from that? Answer: "Nothing". That's due to the fact that the answer conveys two possible meanings, and therefore is ambiguous.

The two possible answers would not have any significance in your life whatsoever, -unless you were one day able to run for the highest office in the nation, -the presidency. Then it would make all the difference in the world. How so? Because the citizenship with which you were born is either a barrier to the presidency or it's an open invitation.

It you were legally born with United States citizenship, with citizenship granted & declared by the 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, -citizenship which no one can alter or rescind, then you are not eligible to be the President.

But if your citizenship is not granted by anything, -no law, nor article of, or Amendment to the Constitution, nor any Supreme Court ruling, then you are eligible to be the President because you were not legally-born *with* U.S. citizenship but were naturally born as a citizen.

The Constitution requires that no one except "a natural born citizen" be eligible to the office of the President. Do you "legally" qualify to be President? Not in a technical sense if you're a natural citizen since your citizenship is not a result of any U.S. Law. Your qualification for the presidency is not a matter of law but of the Constitution, and by it only natural citizens are qualified.

The Law and the Constitution do not grant your citizenship because they do not need to. Why not? Because you're a citizen by natural determination, and not merely by legal determination. The Principles of Nature are as high above the Principles of Law as the Penthouse suite is above the ground floor. Natural membership (of which citizenship is a form), can't be

altered or canceled. It exists as a fact of Nature affecting all social creatures on earth. One who is born as a natural citizen is not said to "have" citizenship. Rather "a Citizen" is what they are organically. It's inescapable. It's one's (political) nature. It results from being born to parents who were citizens.

You were the product of what they were. Their nationality was the nationality with which you were born, -a born member of their nation. A member by nature.

But one born "with" citizenship possesses it as a gift of the government and the natural citizens who ratified the 14th Amendment granting citizenship to children of legal American immigrants. That gift does not make them natural citizens. It makes them legal citizens instead because they are citizens by law and not by nature. Their citizenship is not a natural right but a man-made right.

An illustration of the principle is that of a white person who wants to be a member of the black-persons group. They are all natural members of their group because they were born black. But whiteness was not. So in order to be a member of that group he needs to have what they have, which is black skin and appearance.

There's no way to acquire them naturally because that's only the result of birth, not human action. But he can acquire it artificially by having injections or skin dye, or excessive tanning, making him thereby a man-made black person. He can then be accepted as a member of the group, even though he is not a natural black person.

He can serve the group in every capacity except one, -he can't be the leader of the group. That's reserved for only natural members. But if he never has the opportunity to be the leader, then it doesn't affect him in the least. It's like that glass ceiling doesn't even exist.

He would be one very rare individual if life presented him an open door to ride the elevator to the top-floor Penthouse suite of the leadership position. Only then would he encounter the glass ceiling, unless that is, the guardians of the suite had completely forgotten the fundamental rule that no man-made member is allowed to be the leader.

But what if his father was white and his mother was a black member of the black group? Would he then be a natural member? Well, would he be considered a natural member of the white group? Of course not, -so also he would not be a natural member of the black group because he didn't have a black father.

Maybe if his black mother was impregnated while still a virgin via the power of a divine miracle, then possibly he could be purely black in the physical sense. But with that possibility aside, he would not be a natural black member because that requires purely black parentage, -black & white does not produce purely black natural off-spring.

Barack Obama is just such a racial being and also such a citizen being. His citizenship nature is no more purely and naturally American than his racial nature is purely and naturally white. He is instead a hybrid blend of two distinctly different backgrounds.

No mixture can result in a natural replication of just one of the two ingredients that comprise the mixture. An oil-&-water mixture does not produce a result that is pure oil or pure water, and hence it cannot be natural because oil and water are never naturally associated.

So it is with American citizenship and foreign citizenship. They don't mix and produce natural American citizens. They produce hybrid mixture dual-citizens. Dual citizens are no more natural than is a hermaphrodite.

Unnatural combinations of competing natures can hardly be called natural. Possessing the genitalia of both males and females is akin to possessing dual and competing national allegiance. It violates the natural order of things, and therefore is decidedly unnatural. That was the view of our founding fathers who detested dual-citizenship and wouldn't allow it.

It is no more natural than the European Union. It's based on economic union but without political union. How can separate sovereign states with different history, culture, and language ever be a uniform union with central control? It's impossible. Just as it's impossible for a dual or quadruple citizen to be a natural member of his

parents competing nationalities, -especially if they are both dual citizens from four separate nations.

The word "natural" has no connection to such a man-made mish-mash concoction of a political nature. It's as natural as saccharin and margarine. Calling saccharin "sugar" or margarine "butter" does not make them so. And similarly, calling a legal man-made native-born citizen a "natural born citizen" does not make one so either.

Bottom line is that Barry Soetoro, aka Barry Obama, aka Barack Obama is no more a natural born American citizen than an artificial flower is a real flower, or Kool-Aid is real fruit juice, or counterfeit bills are real currency, or a female impersonator, or a transsexual is a natural female.

What's real and produced naturally is what is natural. Man-made things, and man-made citizenship are not the real-deal, even though they may appear just as genuine as does a counterfeit Gucci bag, Rolex watch, Armani suit, driver's license, or birth certificate.

Suppose that a counterfeiter got a hold of real Treasury-printed \$100 bills but only one side was printed. If he counterfeit-printed the other side, what would the bill be? Completely real or completely fake? Neither, of course, because it would be a combination of the two, a hybrid.

So it is with dual citizenship, one is not a genuine 100% member of either nationality because one is 50-50, half & half. Only real natural citizenship can pass the test of presidential eligibility. That's a test that Obama's citizenship fails, and his presidency is therefore illegitimate.

One could say that it's a bastard presidency because it's not sanctioned & sanctified by the officiating authority of the United States Constitution. Even the unjustified and unconstitutional benediction of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court who incompetently administered the oath of office can make a bastard presidency legitimate.