Reality Check Circa 1789:

No Bastard Daughter of a Catholic African Alien Can Be President of the Unites States!

Do I have your attention? Good, because there's a very important question you need to think about. Few others are thinking about it or seeking an answer, so you'll find yourself quite alone when you find it.

The question is this: "What qualifies a person to be to the the President of the United States? The answer turns out to be based on the vicissitudes of life, -one of those things over which one has no control. Namely, the circumstances of one's birth. The title reflects the limitations of those circumstances, including gender, race, religion, culture, and nationality.

There are basically eight birth circumstances related to the United States and the presidency, and they are: 1. Domestic birth to American parents. 2. Foreign birth to foreign parents.

- 3. Foreign birth to one U.S. Citizen if; (a): married, b: not married, c: male, d: or female.
- 4. Domestic birth to a U.S. Citizen and: a non-immigrant foreigner; an immigrant; a U.S. National; or an illegal alien. Further distinction is drawn between legitimate & illegitimate birth, and the gender of the parents.
- 5. Domestic birth to naturalized foreigners.
- 6. Domestic birth to non-immigrant foreigners.
- 7. Domestic birth to non-citizen U.S. Nationals.
- 8. Domestic birth to legal permanent resident aliens (un-naturalized immigrants).

Those born into the first circumstance (historically about 98% of us) are Americans by nature, but those born into the last circumstance

are foreigners by nature, but naturalized at birth by the 14th Amendment.

There are three kinds of truth. There's the truth that can be spoken openly, and is. There's the truth that can only be spoken in private. And there's the truth that can't be spoken at all because it's of a nature that's too sensitive. Half a century ago when many of us were growing up, one couldn't use the word "pregnant" in polite company. One would say instead "expecting". One was conscious of the need to not offend the sensibilities of others. Such a concern has been a part of all civilizations, and part of what made them civil. So it was in 1789 when the Constitution was the new foundation of a new nation.

Wisely Setting a Limit

The founding fathers had agreed that, unlike the Articles of Confederation which treated each State as a mostly separate nation, (-though united in needed ways) the Constitution and the government it would create would provided a single leader of the nation, and that leader, it was decided, would also have another important role, and that was to be the commander of all military forces of the nation.

They wanted the loyalty of the Commander-in-Chief to be unquestionably grounded in the United States and it alone. Thus they set a limit on who could be President in Article 2, Section 1, Clause 5 of the Constitution, which reads: "No person except a natural born citizen...shall be eligible to the office of the President,".

That told us that he could not be a foreigner, -nor born of a foreigner because the child of a foreigner was a natural born U.S. citizen only if fathered by a naturalized foreigner who had become an American. Otherwise the federal government saw only his foreign citizenship inherited from his foreign father, even though

some States granted citizenship from birth to children of their immigrants.

The Unspoken Truth

What it didn't tell us is a truth that was not stated because it didn't need to be, -and should not have been stated if merely for the purpose of civility. It didn't say that those persons who were natural born citizens, but also of the female persuasion, were excluded by the unwritten traditional, scripture-based rule of their purely patriarchal world.

It also didn't tell us that no natural born citizen who was non-white could run for nor be elected to the office. Nor any Jew, nor any Catholic since Catholicism was subject to the dictatorship of Rome, and was the enemy of Protestantism which was the father of the concept of individualism, and a direct relationship and responsibility to God.

Peoples from countries that were deprived of the liberties and rights of free Englishmen, -rights secured over centuries of conflict with the Crown, were "dangerous" embodiments of "alien concepts" of the acceptable power of rulers, and lacking the consciousness of the unalienable rights of man, -which constitute the foundation of a free society.

(Unfortunately for the harassed and victimized colonists, the King of England and his Parliament didn't view the Americans as being fellow Englishmen because they were not a part of England, and therefore he could treat them any way he chose because they were like his property, -born on his private external unincorporated lands and therefore his to do with as he desired. His arrogance of power was unrestrained toward them by the laws of England. But I digress.)

The Standards of the People

Just as it was unwritten that no natural born

American daughter of parents united in holy matrimony could be President, so also no son of an unholy union outside of the sanctity of marriage could represent the nation as its leader. The son of a mother who conceived in sin and gave birth outside of the blessing of God & the Church and the vows of fidelity for life would be viewed in the light of his conception and never accepted as a candidate by people who looked at the world through clear concepts of right and wrong, sin and righteousness, moral and immoral behavior. Men tainted by such parental behavior, and men with low moral character and criminal backgrounds would not be conceivable as the nation's leader. The sins that one could be guilty of and would reflect poor character were well understood.

The office of the President must be one that is beyond reproach, and so the President must not be one unworthy of the office. Therefore, in conformity with moral & social standards, male chauvinism & patriarchal tradition, along with racial bias; no bastard son (or daughter) of a foreign non-Protestant, non-northern European, non-white, non-property owning father could ever be viewed as acceptable as President by the descendants of the religious freedom-seeking rejects of northern Europe, -those Protestant immigrant ancestors who founded the American colonies.

Deliberate Discrimination

What's the point of relating all that unwritten blatant discrimination that was part of the fabric of their being? It's to make it clear that although the American mind set was open to all kinds of immigrants, without limitations other than known criminality, and citizenship was available to most men through the naturalization law, -and that such openness even extended to essentially every office of the federal government, there was nevertheless one exception, and that was the position of the Commander-in-Chief.

Since the Commander-in-Chief was also the President, -combining two positions into one, it was necessary to set a limitation on that office since it was subject to public election The discrimination instituted on behalf of national security was that no foreigner could be entrusted with the power of the Commander-in-Chief.

That meant that sons of a foreigner could not be President either because, on the national level, they didn't qualify as natural born American citizens (even if they were born in one of the States of the Union that granted citizenship from birth) unless the immigrant father first became naturalized in the State in which he lived

If he never did up until they became adults, then they would have to become naturalized themselves.

But only those born to American fathers could become President. How does that relate to today? Nothing has changed except two significant things, -now American women are viewed as legally and constitutionally equal to men in almost all ways. They can be elected, or appointed, to every office in the land, including the presidency. The same goes for all races. Even those "conceived in sin" are eligible as long as they themselves have not shown poor moral character. And while religion is still a core issue with tens of millions of Americans, it doesn't prevent anyone from running for the presidency.

In fact, almost nothing prevents anyone from running for the presidency, -including the Constitution of the United States, even though it still stubbornly requires that the President be a natural born citizen. While most of the unwritten, unspoken prohibitions or roadblocks are eliminated in the America of today, and that's a good thing, the problem is that the baby has been thrown out with the bath water.

The Constitution has been tossed out by blindly or deliberately ignoring it, or striving to keep voters from being aware of its still-intact prohibition against off-spring of foreigners serving as President & Commander-in-Chief, -which at one time included command over 30,000 nuclear bombs.

But discrimination still exists and it's written in stone in the Constitution itself. The prohibition against anyone who is not born to American parents still stands. The presidential eligibility clause is entirely prohibitive and exclusionary in nature. It first prohibits anyone from election to the presidency (No person...) and then makes two exceptions, -natural born citizens, and those who were citizens when the Constitution was adopted. The founders weren't born as citizens of the United States because it didn't exist when they were born.

A New & Different Citizenship

They were mass converted from subjects of the King of England to citizens of America by the Declaration of Independence which ended their connection to Great Britain. They then became citizens of the individual, separate-but-united States of America, -but children born to them after the Declaration (the oldest of which were only 11 years old when the Constitution was written) were born as natural citizens of the State of their birth, and the nation as well, as long as they weren't born to foreigners.

If they were, then the only limitation that existed in regard to their citizenship, (-if their State granted citizenship automatically to native-born children of immigrants) was that they would not be allowed to wield the power of the Commander-in-Chief. That unwritten prohibition meant constitutionally that they could not serve as President since he wielded that power as part of the office.

By the written and unwritten laws & rules that governed the States and the nation at the time that the United States government came into being, one can state the following:

The facts regarding citizenship in 1789:

- 1. Foreigners were not Americans and could not be President.
- 2. Foreign-born children of immigrants could not be President.
- 3. Foreign-born children of naturalized immigrants could not be President.
- 4. Native-born children of foreigners could not be President.
- 5. Native-born children of immigrants could not be born citizens unless the law of the State in which they were born allowed it. If it did not, then they wouldn't be citizens of the United States either. They'd be citizens of their father's nation only.
- 6. Native-born children of naturalized immigrants were natural U.S. citizens and could be President if they were born after their father became a naturalized American citizen.
- 7. Children born before naturalization were natural born citizens of the foreign father's homeland and therefore dual citizens if their State granted them citizenship also.
- 8. Children born with dual citizenship, -with membership in two nations and allegiance required from both, were not acceptable to be Commander-in-Chief because they lacked the foremost quality required for wielding the power of that position, and that was undivided loyalty, allegiance and attachment to the United States and it alone.
- 9. Children of naturalized citizens, even though still living in their native land, would enter the United States as citizens due to acquiring "derivative citizenship through their father's naturalization

- 9. American immigrants were not rightfully subject to the authority of their own nation's government because they were subject to the United States government since they were members of American society and bore the responsibilities of the citizens of the United States even though they didn't possess the full rights and responsibilities of State citizens. The nation with the fullest jurisdiction is the one in which a person lives.
- 10. Foreigners, and their wives, who were present in any of the States on a temporary basis, -visitors, tourists, businessmen, scholars, students, statesmen, and representatives of foreign governments were subject to the authority of their own government and not that of the American federal government, and therefore a child born to them while within U.S. borders had no natural right to citizenship in the United States, -a nation to which their parents had no political connection whatsoever.
- 11. A child fathered by a citizen of a foreign nation (-a nation to which his ties were still unsevered), was not eligible to be President.

Barack Obama was born to such a father, but due to immigration law, since he had an American mother, he was part American by nature. In 1789 he would have been 100% British because his mother's citizenship would have had no bearing on that of her child since it was inherited from the father alone.

One hundred years ago his mother would not have even been a United States citizen because she would have lost her citizenship due to the

Nationality Act of 1907 because she married a foreigner. That may not have been the law in 1789 but it may have been the policy of many or most of the States, and it was the States and local judicial magistrates that dictated who was allowed to obtain naturalized citizenship.

Large But Limited Change

The take-away of these facts is the question: "How could someone whose American citizenship would not have existed when the Constitution was written, or during particular times in U.S. history, be considered to be a "natural born citizen" today?" Have things changed that much? Constitutionally speaking, all that's changed is the end of racial and gender bias in regard to citizenship & the presidency.

But nothing has changed in regard to the type of citizenship which is legally eligible. That type of citizenship is still natural citizenship, -the type with which one is conceived and born as their natural birthright, -their blood-connection inheritance from parents who are both members of the nation, and Barack Obama was not born with that type of citizenship.

Unqualified Citizenship

Instead he was born with different citizenship through both of his parents, -two of everything related to national origin. Two nationalities, -two allegiances, -two heritages, -two cultures, -two government jurisdictions. His political nature from birth was half & half, 50-50, this & that, apples & oranges, dual and bifurcated, -not 100% organic natural-born American, -but foreigner-born, 50-50 citizenship is not considered natural citizenship by the laws and traditions of any nation on earth, including the United States.

Barack Obama's citizenship is as much normal natural American citizenship as transgender sexuality is normal natural sexuality, -as normal as conjointed twins are normal natural twins, -as bigamists are normal natural married spouses, -as centaurs are normal natural humans. A two-headed snake is definitely a snake, just as Obama is definitely a citizen, but that fact doesn't make it or him

conform to the natural pattern. And being nativeborn doesn't change that fact in the least.

It's all about nature, -not geography. It's all about natural inheritance, not artificial borders. It's about a natural right to citizenship, -not government granted citizenship. It's about a singular, uniform origin, -not a dual, conflicted origin. It's about the universal law of natural membership, -not artificial membership by permission of a manmade statute which provides an outsider adoption into the American family. It's about picking sides in time of war, -not straddling the fence because one belongs to both sides.

Barack Obama's citizenship is wholly derived from permission of the government via its naturalization statutes. If he had been born in 1789 to an American father and a foreign mother then things would have been quite different because his mother would have obtained American citizenship as soon as the wedding took place.

But a foreign male has never gained U.S. citizenship by marrying an American woman, and that fact was true in 1961 when he was born to a Kenyan father who was unable to beget a child that was not British by birth and a natural citizen of the British Commonwealth. Being foreigner-born, (-not foreign-born), is what disqualifies him from being constitutionally eligible to be the Commander-in Chief and the President of the United States.

By A.R. Nash April 2012 http://obama--nation

Addendum:

Congressional law and constitutional law are like a vast arctic ice sheet that's dozens of miles square. You can't even see the edge of it it's so huge, and yet it's not permanent. It's meltable, driftable, changeable, as it floats on something permanent, immutable, unchanging, and that is its mother ocean, -the source of its existence.

Laws made by men either float on something permanent or else they float on nothing, and have no tether or connection to anything unchanging, -floating in the ether with no up or down, no East or West, North or South -nothing but the capacious wishes of those who passed them. But the only type of government so constituted is a dictatorship without mercy, reason, fairness, or justice. Such governments have never existed except as criminal gangs because they would crumble under the rebellion of those they oppress.

Everlasting Principles

Governments must be grounded on everlasting principles in order to be all the things that gangs are not. Those principles begin with nature, and are joined by spiritual law, religious law, and practical law. In some cases, that which is natural is also that which is practical, and therefore doubly strong as a fundamental principle on which the law and government can be founded.

The law of natural membership is such a principle. It binds people together in a natural as well as national way. Being a member of the group results in one's children being members also. Being a natural member of the group, -one born to members and not outsiders, comes with privileges that outsiders and their children have no right to. One of those is the right to be the Chief.

The Nature of a Leader

He's the one to lead the tribe in battle, and so he must be one who is a member in his bones. It must be what he is, not what he's become by permission, even if permission was obtained from birth. He must be a member organically, naturally. He must be a member by his nature, -his identity must be in his blood. He can lead the tribe in war without any distraction such as having a mother or father who's a member of the opposing side.

War is the greatest challenge to any nation and its members, and the seriousness of war must be taught to every generation or else they will lose touch with the most fundamental reality of human existence and human history. But not all are required to share the burden to defend one's own people in war. The women of the group are an exempted and protected class, but the men are not. The reality that faces them on the most primal level is that of the possible requirement to sacrifice their life to defend the group. That fact is a consequence of a responsibility with which they are born and cannot escape.

In American history that fact was evident by the commands that military officers could rightfully give to their men. They could order them to march into a wall of bullets that would surely kill them. The reality of war was the reason that the name of our department of the military was not called the Department of Defense but the Department of War. There's no defense nor offense without engaging in the realities of War, and those realities are the reason that American women will never be required to face cannon and machine gun fire. They, and foreigners, are not subject to the jurisdiction of the federal government when it comes to the most fundamental responsibility of citizenship, and that's why citizenship was not passed to children from them.