

THE THINGS OF NATURE & THE NATURE OF THINGS

~the source of natural citizenship

The *things of nature* have many things in common. The first and foremost of which is the manner in which they come into being. With living things, that would be via natural reproduction. **The Law of Nature** is that natural reproduction produces progeny of the same species 100% of the time. That has been the rule everywhere for billions of years. That's *the nature of things*. It's Nature's second immutable law,* and is the foremost principle of "Natural Law".

From the smallest organism right up to primates, including humans, everything of nature reproduces after its own kind. No species ever reproduces an off-spring of a different species. The life in every seed and every egg and sperm will produce off-spring like itself. Species A never produces Species B. If the two are successfully manipulated to create a hybrid off-spring it will not be either Species A nor B but instead will be Hybrid C.

Hybrids are unnatural combinations of the things of nature and will not constitute any new species unless they are very closely related already. In the animal world they are essentially unknown. The Law of Nature prevails. But in the plant world they are easier to create because plant life is far less complex. One example is the nectarine (plume + peach) but one is challenged to think of any others.

It's always been believed that The Laws of Nature were the creation of the Creator of the natural realm, and viewed as the necessary rules that would control it and provide order. Humans have always observed the order in **the natural realm** and in fact have also always been a part of it.

Aside from reproduction, there is another law operating in the order of things and that law is the **Law of Natural Membership**. It's derived from the law of life on which it depends.

Many species, including humans, are *social beings* rather than solitary beings, and their society is constituted according to the laws of nature as well as the choices of its members or leaders. To be a natural member of a natural group only requires that the 2nd law of nature has been followed in regard to

ones parentage. Those whose parents are members of a group are members also, having been born into it and being of it. They require no permission or test or fee to join the group because they were not born outside of it but inside. They have the blood of the group in them and therefore are its *natural members*.

Outsiders do not have the blood of the group members and are not natural members even if they are born within the territory of the group. To become a group member they must receive the permission of the group.

It is a serious thing to allow an outsider into the group as a permanent member because of the issue of **trust** and **loyalty**. The possibility of betrayal by a secretly hostile outsider must be considered. Therefore, any outsider who wishes to join will either have to live with a second-class membership with limited rights (a legal *immigrant*) or will have to undergo and pass the process of being naturalized into full first-class membership.

Sometimes second-class members (immigrant aliens) produce off-spring with first-class full-blood members (**citizens**). Such a child is a *hybrid member* because it's not fully an outsider nor fully an insider but is instead a hybrid "**cross-breed**" or half-blood member. Since it's half-way a natural member, it may be granted membership from the very beginning of its life.

But if an outsider who has not joined himself to the group, but is merely a seasonal **visitor** (a "*non-immigrant alien*"), -if he should impregnate a group woman, then marry her, their hybrid off-spring will not be considered to be a first-class member nor a second-class member but will be viewed as a natural member of its father's group because children (the property of the father that produces them) and wives become members of their mate's group since they belong to him and become what he is.

That's the social pattern of *patriarchal* societies. In such societies, if a child results from the illegitimate union of a man & woman who haven't been joined in a sacred union blessed by the Great Spirit and a spiritual leader (taking the solemn vows of life-long fidelity to each other before witnesses),

* [the first being that only Life produces Life]

then that child is considered to have been conceived illegitimately and therefore is labeled a bastard. Any child from a union that is not in accordance with the natural order of society and its sacred laws is aberrant in nature, and that includes the *non*-natural off-spring of a mixing of backgrounds or nationalities.

Such a union can only produce a *non*-natural citizen because the union of its parents crossed a political boundary analogous to crossing different species. Just as the crossing of different species is always unnatural (and impossible), so it is in a political sense with different nationalities.*

The union of different nationalities always produces a political-hybrid. If a Japanese person has a child with a Saudi Arabian, their off-spring will be a political hybrid. If a Kenyan fathers a child with an American, their child will be a political hybrid and will not be a natural Kenyan nor a natural American, -just as a mule is neither a natural horse nor a natural donkey, -unlike its equine parents which are different-breeds.

Just as that which controls in the natural realm also controls in the tribal realm, so the pattern that controls in the tribal realm also controls in the national realm. It's the the principle of the pattern of nature which determines the nature of things. That pattern is easily identifiable by any observer. It does not require one to read a book of laws and opinions to realize what-is-what in the natural order of things. One can be uneducated and illiterate and still understand the clear simple order that holds societies together in a natural manner.

But the more complex and vast a society becomes, the more its members are distracted and disassociated from the natural order of things. In order to preserve order, the order of things must be written down so that all can know and follow them and allow them to be the law that they are naturally in a less complex and less distracted Society.*

[-unless they are members of a greater union such as the British Empire. If during the colonial days a Canadian had married an Australian, such

a union would cross the national boundary but not the international boundary in which all colonies were part of the Empire, -later the Commonwealth].

When the rules are written down they thereby become "**The Law**". The law must be obeyed. It's not optional. In "**The Pirates of the Caribbean: At World's End**", when all of the pirate Lords had gathered and were discussing whether or not they must vote on choosing a King of the Pirates, one of the Lords resisted.

He insisted that the **Pirate Code** [like the **Constitution**] was really just a set of guidelines and didn't absolutely have to be followed. His resistance to the Code was met by a bullet through the chest fired by the father of Captain Jack Sparrow who was the Keeper of The Code. His response to disrespect for the Code was the words spoken with gravelly gravity: "It's The Law".

It is not to be trifled with because it is the only glue that the complex society has. Without it there is anarchy because some people in a complex society are not social beings but solitary, selfish, savage predators.

In regard to group membership, "The Law" follows natural law where it can, and follows the will of the people, or their government, where it can't.

Should children of illegal aliens who are born while their mother is inside the United States be granted citizenship? Are they **natural citizens**? The answer to the first question is determined by the will of the people and it is their choice to make because such children are not the result of natural law. So the answer to the second question is "no, they are not natural citizens because their parents are not natural members of the group."

The children of outsiders, or simply an outsider-father, aren't members by natural law and so laws must be written in order to determine what their status is. That is not the case with the children of natural members. No law is needed to determine their status, and no law has ever been written.

Nobody has ever possessed the authority to make any such law because men do not have the right to regulate nor abrogate the rights of their fellow natural members. That right of membership is an **unalienable right** that they all possess. It is not granted by government, nor law, nor leaders, nor courts, but by “*the Laws of Nature and Nature's God*”. That’s what determines order in the things of nature as well as in the governance of membership in tribe or country.

When a couple travels outside of their group's natural territory and the wife gives birth, their child does not belong to nor pertain to whatever group owns and inhabits that territory, (-if any does, -think Antarctica). Rather, their child is a natural member of their parents' group. It is a native member of the group just like all the rest.

When the couple has returned to their home territory, no one is going to say to them that their child is an outsider, -not a native member and has no right to be a member because it wasn't born where the group holds control over everything.

No one would say nor think that, and the reason is that the child was born with the pure blood of natural members of the group and therefore is one also. It does not need anyone's permission in order to be that which it is by nature. It does not need to be **natural-ized** or nativized because it's already a *natural native*, regardless of whether or not it was born in the natives’ own territory.

It's the same with natural citizenship. Perhaps I should emphasis its nature with capitals; Natural Citizenship. Every other form of citizenship is citizenship by law, -and not by nature. The hybrid children with mixed parentage, and the children of *permanently domiciled* outsiders may be granted membership from birth, but it’s a membership that’s granted by permission of law and policy.

Any such membership is not natural membership and as such no member with granted membership is allowed to be **the Chief** because he is either a *half-blood* member or *no-blood* member. That’s

reserved for only natural full-blood members, -not outsiders who have become members, nor their children born while they were still outsiders because their children take after their father, and he has roots with another group and those roots could someday produce a major conflict of loyalty.

When one understands the law of nature then one can understand the principle of group membership, and thereby grasp the principle that underlies national citizenship.

If one's national membership, including even *constitutional* membership, is not natural membership, then one cannot be entrusted with the power of the position of Chief, whether that be Native Chief or **Commander-in-Chief**.

We now have a Commander-in-Chief who is not a natural citizen and therefore his national citizenship is not sufficient to qualify him for that position. It's the Law. Those who wrote that law were the unfortunate beneficiaries of a lesson in group loyalty, -or, I should say, group *disloyalty*. A high ranking member betrayed the group in a big way and the group suffered because of his betrayal. His name was General Benedict Arnold.

It was in part because of the lesson that they learned from him that they made it the law that only natural members were allowed to hold the power of authority over all the warriors of the group. That's how it was in 1789 and that's how it still is today. But people are so removed from nature and its laws that they do not even grasp what natural membership is, and so they have chosen a hybrid member to be their Chief, -in violation of the fundamental law of their nation.

As long as the group is unaware of their own fundamental law, he may succeed in capturing the position of Chief for a second time. God help us if that happens because we will find ourselves remaining unwilling passengers on the train of national destiny that's taking us ever closer to dependent subservience and financial collapse.