

Why Obama's Newspaper Birth Announcements can't be believed

reference:http://www.americanthinker.com/2012/10/are_obamas_1961_newspaper_birth_announcements_fake.html by Shawn Glasco

DATE: JUNE 9, 2008 Jim Geraghty of the Nation Review asks (in response to the rumor that Obama's second middle name was Muhammad) why doesn't Obama's camp just "release a copy of his birth certificate"?

The Obama-supporting website Politifact (like Snopes) could find no publicly available image of a copy of Obama's birth certificate though they search for one. They stated that Obama's "*campaign would not release it and the state of Hawaii does not make such records public.*" (Note: you can't release what you ain't got.)

DATE: JUNE 12, 2008: Just three days after the question of why the birth certificate hadn't been released, one mysteriously is posted on the Daily Kos website with no fan-fare or explanation. * Why? Undoubtedly because of the political positions of its owner and founder, Markos Moulitsas, who authored "*Taking On the System: Rules for Radical Change in a Digital Era*", -inspired by the Saul Alinsky socialist bible: "**Rules for Radical**" which was the far-Left's guidebook for forcing change on society by every underhanded tactic conceivable, especially ridicule and mockery. Hillary Clinton was a huge fan and devoted her dissertation to him and his thoughts and methods (which inspired her Progressive passion). Alinsky dedicated the book to the first radical in history; **Satan**.

[* **Three days?** Wow! How did they get Hawaii to issue one so fast? And mail it as well? Or did they?]

The birth certificate that appear with the words; "[h]ere is Obama's birth certificate" was the never seen before short form **Certification of Live Birth** form from Hawaii. He added: "the latest batch of crazy internet rumors" are now "debunked."

DATE: JUNE 12TH 2008 (the same day) Politifact also received an e-mail containing the jpg image of the same short-form birth certification said to be from the previously unhelpful Obama campaign. That was to be the end of the Muhammad rumors.

DATE: about JULY 23, 2008; an image is posted on the internet of the August 13, 1961 "Honolulu SUNDAY Advertiser" with a listing of birth announcements including Obama's. It seems to have been posted by Lori Starfelt on a TexasDarlin blog. Malcolm X was one of her heros. She authored "*More Americans Killed By Right Wing Terrorists In The 90s Than Foreign Terrorists.*"

Starfelt's Claim #1. She received her copy from *an unnamed research librarian* at the Hawaii State Library.

Starfelt's Claim #2. She "talked" to the Department of Vital Records and the Honolulu Advertiser

Starfelt's Claim #3. She was informed that in 1961 new Vital Records would be posted at the end of the week on a sheet which the newspaper would pick up for routine Sunday publication.

Starfelt Calculated: having been born early Friday evening, Obama's hospital record would not have been completed, sent to the Vital Statistics Office, added to the list of births and been posted for pickup until the next Friday. That explains its publication on the next Sunday (August 13 edition of the weekend paper).

Starfelts Lie or Error: Blogger "Ladyforest" compiled a 10-day sampling of birth lists from the daily papers and it turns out they were printed almost everyday.

Question: Did Starfelt deliberately lie or was she lied to? Or was it a mistake of ignorance of detail by respondents inside the Vital Records Office or the newspaper? (if that's even possible)

HUGE *Question:* Why was the appearance of the announcement of the Nordyke twins not in that Sunday edition as well since they were born the next day (Saturday August 5th) and the records for them and Obama would not have left the hospital separately since their births were supposedly so close together? (Note: Mrs. Nordyke has no recollection of Ann Dunham nor her mix-race baby and she is a loyal Obama supporter)

COINCIDENCE: Starfelt died three years later and her memorial service was held in May 2011 at The Unitarian Universalist Church in Studio City, California. Obama's grandparents, Madeline and Stanley Dunham, were members of The Unitarian Universalist Church in Seattle and Hawaii. Madeline Dunham's memorial service was also held (in 2008) at The Unitarian Universalist Church (in Hawaii).

A SECOND COPY APPEARS: July 2008 another blogger (Infidel Granny) posts the same newspaper birth announcement image of the Dunham birth. She states she received it in an e-mail also from *a nameless research librarian* in the State Library.
<http://butterdezillion.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/the-online-birth-announcement-claims.pdf>

A NEW BIRTH ANNOUNCEMENT APPEARS: DATE: mid AUGUST, 2008

A Honolulu resident posts an image of the August 14, 1961 Honolulu Star-Bulletin birth announcement which includes Obama's birth, conveying that she found it herself in the Hawaii State Library.

It's significant revelation: the order of the first 25 births perfectly matched that of the Honolulu Advertiser.

QUESTION: Was that normal?

CONFIRMED: Hawaii Health Department spokeswoman Janice Okubo states that both newspapers received their vital statistics from the Health Department which obtained their birth reports directly from Hawaiian hospitals. The lists would naturally be the same.

CONFIRMATION DISCREDITED: A comparison of births between the two newspapers covering ten days in August 1961 reveals enormous dissimilarities to each other. Had the released images been doctored? Was the newspaper, descendant of the two original papers which were closely associated, shared the same building for 50 years, and then merged into one newspaper, lying or speaking confidently but ignorantly?

So either the newspaper (or the Hawaii Department of Health) was dishonest and untruthful or was incompetent and incorrect. That is made manifestly clear by the fact that the editions of the papers surrounding the days in which Obama's birth is shown are an inexplicable jumble of disjointed irrational orders of appearance, -beyond making any sense at all, -as if deliberately done to cover-up some manipulation that would be recognizable if the orders were seen in their normal identical order in both papers. The odds calculated to allow for such an order of disorder are four quadrillion to one.

http://www.americanthinker.com/2012/10/are_obamas_1961_newspaper_birth_announcements_fake.html

UNANSWERABLE QUESTION: Why would the Obama birth announcement not be closely associated with those of the Nordyke twins and printed in the same edition of announcements? Since theirs were printed on August 16th in the Wednesday Advertiser, why was Obama's not also, -but instead appears in the Sunday edition of August 13? Similarly with the other newspaper's listing. If such questions have no logical answers in the legal above-board world, then how about answers in the under-handed, dishonest, amoral political world?

Many questions arise and are asked in an excellent expose of the disorder seen in the two microfilm records of those birth announcement pages, -questions which are rationally unanswerable. Such questions give rise to reasonable speculation that the images of those newspaper pages were "doctored" to include the name of Barack Obama, -questions such as: "Why were blocks of identical names between the two newspapers jumbled together in a hodgepodge manner when identical birth lists were given to the newspapers by the Hawaii Vital Records office? Did someone from the Hawaii Newspaper Agency in the summer of 2008 intentionally cut and paste blocks in a random fashion in order to sow confusion into "phonied up" August 12, 13, 14, 1961 microfilms?"

One thing is certain. The appearance of Obama's name in those newspapers was all the "*proof*" that people needed to be convinced and to shutdown any further investigation into Obama's questionable origins. If that was what was intended by a conspiracy to legitimize someone without an American birth certificate, then it worked spectacularly because that is just what happened. The lead anchor of FOX News, Bill O'Reilly gave the newspaper birth announcement story his august benediction saying he had looked into the birth certificate and "found out there were two separate birth announcements made in Honolulu newspapers on the day Barack Obama was born." The day he was born? Wow! How's that for the requisite level of fact checking called for in a high-stakes case just ripe for fraud?

Then he dove face-first into the brain-dead thinking that if the newspaper announcements were faked, then they were faked in 1961, (against odds of a "gazillion to one"), with nary a thought at all given to the possibility that they were not faked when the papers were printed but rather the microfilm record of what was printed had been altered in 2008 or 2007.

The odds he failed to calculate were the odds against the genuineness of a fake Hawaiian short form birth certificate image that appeared from nowhere on a Saul Alinsky-inspired website, followed by "corroborating" newspaper announcement images from two integrated newspapers which made false claims and whose "records" was disjointed jumbled messes of disorder surrounding Obama's birth announcement, -which images were forwarded from an unknown source to two anonymous bloggers, -one of which wrote an essay claiming "*More Americans Killed By Right Wing Terrorists In The 90s Than Foreign Terrorists.*" How can you ignore the odds against *honest coincidences* happening when those who wish you to ignore those coincidences and the suspicions they raise are also those who embrace one who dedicated his "finest work" to the Devil?

PS. The reels of microfilm for the days surrounding Obama's birth are all identical reels from when the microfilm was developed with the lone exception for August 1-15, 1961 which is on a brand of reel completely different from all the others and totally unexplainable

<http://h2ooflife.wordpress.com/2013/08/02/obamas-unbelievable-birth-announcements/advertiser-replaced-reel/> by Adrien Nash August 2013 obama--nation.com