

## The Dandelion Analogy

When almost everyone that most people know is a fellow natural American citizen, and born in America, the "common knowledge" that everyone assumes is true regarding the factor that determines American citizenship leads to the certainty that they are Americans by being born in America. That is not illogical nor irrational, but it does not follow that therefore it must be true.

General rules based on assumptions based on observed facts are usually correct, but can also be the opposite of what is correct. Everyone assumes that it's a rule that if you're born in America then you must be an American citizen because that is the common everyday apparent fact of life. But such "rules" always fail to take into account the bigger picture, -the picture in which at the peripheral there are exceptions to "the rule".

An analogy that just presented itself to me while gardening is that of the rule that you always pull off the yellow blossoms of dandelions before they have a chance to turn into wind-driven spore puffs. That is something that you always want to do, and which I've always done, -until just now. I came across an exception which I had never seen before nor thought of. My general rule promptly was seen to not be so absolute or never-to-be-broken.

What broke the rule and disproved it? There was a big fat bubble bee on top of the yellow blossom. That rendered it untouchable. A dogmatic parent who insists that their little children always pull off the yellow blossoms would be requiring adherence to a rule that unexpectedly has an exception, and ignoring it would have a very bad downside.

So it is with the assumption that all children born in America are Americans, no exceptions. Well, there are exceptions, and two of them are openly acknowledged by the purveyors of the "rule".

They are children born to foreign ambassadors or to foreign invaders. Now when did God himself say that there are two and only two exceptions? Where is the stone that that is written on?

The authorities that they quote never say that there are only two exceptions. They merely say that there are two exceptions, -but no imposition of the word

"only" unless they are a non-authority misquoting a more ancient authority. Such misquotes are the result of presuming something not in evidence.

There are in fact two more exceptions, -one being children of illegal aliens and the other is the children of foreign visitors with no permanent residence in America nor the permission to establish one. They are merely guests of the government whose home is back in their own nation.

A child born to them belongs to them and they belong to their foreign homeland where they live and will raise their child. So how exactly is such a child an American? In what sense would it be a member of American society?

It isn't and therefore is an exception to "the rule" that all children born in America are American citizens. Only our government is too brain-dead to even recognize that there is a problem with the rule, and so the children of every sort of evil person can force the U.S. government to consider his native-born child an American citizen by forcing it to follow its own...its own...what?...law? No, there's no law that says they are citizens. Supreme Court ruling? No, there's no such ruling.

Federal policy? Yes, there is such a policy, and it has been around for so long that entire generations have grown up and died thinking all along that it was not a policy but an actual law since it was treated as being the law of the land.

But the truth is: there is NO such law! No such constitutional amendment. No such Supreme Court holding. It is all PURE PRESUMPTION executed by the law interpreters of the executive branch headed by the attorney general.

It's what is known as "institutionalized error", and such errors are rarely corrected, especially when there are loud and strong forces who'd oppose its correction and few or none who'd fight to correct it.

And so everyone goes about their merry way assuming that by some American law Barack Obama is an American citizen, when that assumption is based on nothing but erroneous presumption.

He is NOT an American citizen (legally speaking). Nor is he ELIGIBLE TO BE THE PRESIDENT! (constitutionally speaking) HE IS A FRAUD

THROUGH AND THROUGH. (except to his marxist inner circle of oligarch WANNA-BEs. He's not a fraud to them because they are fully aware of his fraudulence and are fellow keepers of his criminal secrets. To them his contrived fakeness is all part of playing the game of getting elected.

Well now that he has been re-elected, there's that slight complication of figuring out what to do and how to do it. They already know why they want their way in all things, -they just can't figure out how to get the American people to go along with their utopian dreams and schemes. If it weren't for that darn obstructionist House of Representatives, we'd probably all be living in Utopia by now. -or maybe Hell, depending on how cooperative the little people are or aren't in the elimination of their liberty.)

## The Figure Eight Analogy

I lived a half century knowing only one way to spool a hose that wasn't on a reel, and that was to curl it in circles on top of itself so that it isn't sprawled all over the ground. Is that not the way that you've also always done it? It was the only way known, as far as I knew, but like the truth about citizenship, there was, in fact, another way, -a way that was far superior and made perfect sense instead of creating a big problem.

What problem? The problem of it unspooling in circular spirals when pulled from the end toward some desired location that needs watering. Curling the hose up in circles seems like a perfectly natural and convenient thing to do, just as ascribing citizenship based on place-of-birth is a very, very convenient thing to do, -so convenient that it is irresistible, and yet, like the hose, it comes with unwanted complications.

When a reel of anything of a stiff continuous linear nature, -whether wire, ribbon, fiber, rope, or cable is pulled off its reel sideways without the reel moving (or off the ground without unspooling in a reverse circular manner) then its circular arrangement remains as one loop for every circle of spooling, and when the object is pulled tight those loops can't untwist themselves and thus result in kinks.

If the object is a hose, it will kink on itself and pinch off the flow of water. If it is a fiber-optic cable, it will damage the cable by fracturing it on the molecular level. To prevent that, a device was invented or appropriated by the U.S. government

which all users of such cable are encouraged to use. There is an entire extensive web page devoted to it, which depicts a board with two conical-shaped tubes positioned a short distance from each other with the fiber cable looped around them in the form of a figure eight.

<http://www.google.com/patents/US7072560>

[“Major advantages of the present invention include, but are not limited to, fiber stowage between spliced components, fiber stowage and pay-out from a missile to form a secure data link with the launch platform, garden hose stowage and payout, fire hose stowage and payout, in-ground or overhead cable stowage and payout, non-rotation sensitive sensing coil wind for the Sagnac Interferometer.”]

The patent is dated to only 2005 but I learned of the idea earlier than that when one day at my father's home I looked at a hose looped on the ground in the shape of a figure eight. I had no idea why someone would do that and so he explained that doing so prevents spiral loops when you pull the hose away from where it is laying.

I had never in my life conceived that there was something very important that I didn't know about such a simple thing as neatly storing a hose after use, and that kind of view is just the view of "authorities" when it comes to their "understanding" of citizenship.

They think it is something so simple that there isn't anything that they don't know because place-of-birth-determined citizenship seems like a perfectly natural means of ascribing it, and yet they don't know the important elements that go into the very basis of citizenship, being unaware of a factor that greatly complicates such a simple thing, namely the presence of illegal aliens having babies, along with the counterfeiting of birth certificates for the foreign born.

The government encourages that excess fiber-optic cable be stored in a figure-eight manner, which is the smart thing to do, but fails to require that the same sanity be implemented in regard to the basis of citizenship even though there is no legal grounds for following the unprincipled policy that it adheres to. Some old dogs cannot learn new tricks.

I once employed the nearby hose of my father's mobile home neighbor, and thought I'd do him a favor and when done curl it back up in a figure-eight

pattern. Next time I saw that hose, it was curled up in the old circular pattern. Lesson: not learned. The neighbor, like myself, had never seen such a pattern for hose storage and so he went on his way by following what had always been the pattern used all of his life, even though in fact it contains a serious flaw. And that is just what the government does when it comes to babies of illegal aliens and foreign visitors, such as the father of Barack Obama.

Nothing in United States law or Supreme Court holdings requires that they continue with their flawed and incorrect policy, but it is the way that things have always been done, just like with "good fences make good neighbors". No one has to think about it because it is a "settled" issue.

The British Admiralty had a settled issue regarding the seamen that manned its vast fleet. It was a policy of not supplying them with citrus fruit, like lemons and limes while on long, long voyages at sea. One person told them that he had discovered that citrus fruit prevents scurvy, which racked the entire long-voyage fleet (due to lack of something unseen and unknown; Vitamin C).

He was dismissed as a kook by the haughty and prideful oligarchs of the fleet, since no one had ever heard of such a thing (-therefore how could it be true?). Consequence: sailors continued to suffer and die by the hundreds of thousands for two hundred years longer until the truth was finally acknowledged and imposed on the entire fleet, resulting in British sailors being nick-named "Limeys".

So far, we as Americans have ignorantly adhered to a policy put in place in 1898 by a confused Attorney General who presumed that the Supreme Court had ruled that children of aliens, if born in the U.S., are U.S. citizens, when in fact all that they ruled was that native-born children of *immigrants* are U.S. Citizens.

Not all aliens are immigrants and so children born to them on U.S. soil are not covered by that ruling regarding the meaning of the 14th Amendment citizenship clause: "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and *subject to the jurisdiction thereof*, are citizens of the United States, and the state wherein they reside."

So, over one hundred years later we are still saddled with the policy ignorantly put in place by that Attorney General, and left to wonder if, like with the British Admiralty, it will take a full two centuries before it is corrected. I don't know about you, but to me that seems like too long to wait. We might all be dead by then (-along with the free and independent United States of America).

by Adrien Nash August 2013 <http://obama--nation.com>