

## Our Asinine U.S. Citizenship Perversion

America has a perfectly clear understanding about the gift of American citizenship to the US-born children of foreigners since its policy hasn't changed in over a century, but that understanding is mired in a morass of stupidity and false ideas. That is the result of ignorance regarding a simple reality central to citizenship (military service), as well as the exploitation of a simple flaw of language, -an ambiguity that goes almost universally unrecognized even while evident in plain sight. The ambiguous word is a key word and lynchpin of the 14th Amendment citizenship clause. It's the word "JURISDICTION".

It doesn't take a genius to recognize that it can be used in two barely related ways; one relating to territory and the other relating to authority. One could say something like; "Within the jurisdiction of the Yellowstone National Park, the National Park Police exercise jurisdiction over criminal matters."

An unambiguous translation is: "Within the *territory* of the Yellowstone National Park, the Park Police exercise *authority* over criminal matters." Under the reign of the British monarchs, such an ambiguity did not exist because they used unambiguous terms; "Within the King's domain, all are subject to (or under) the dominion of The Crown." Clearly, domain means territory and dominion means authority.

One would never say: "Within the King's dominion, all are subject to his domain." because that would translate as: "Within the King's authority, all are subject to his territory." Absurd, right? Asinine. And yet that is the same kind of distortion Americans have been making for over a century in their use, or misuse, of the word "jurisdiction".

How would this sound to the logical mind: "Within the authority of the Yellowstone National Park, the Park Police exercise territory over criminal matters." Obviously, the two different meanings are not interchangeable, and yet when it comes to citizenship, they are thoughtlessly conflated by not thinking about what the 14th Amendment actually says.

How did that come about? It came about because of a very deliberate bastardization of the word by a Supreme Court justice when he wrote the landmark opinion of the court in its ruling on the meaning of the 14th Amendment citizenship clause in 1898, -which reads:

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and the State wherein they reside.

It continues: No State shall...deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

What that corrupt justice (Justice Horace Gray) did was to use sophistical logic to claim in effect that "subject to the jurisdiction" means about the same thing as "within the jurisdiction", conflating the territorial meaning with the authority meaning for the purpose of neutralizing or castrating the subjection requirement.

[~an explanation for his deceit can be found in the theory that he was appointed by President Chester Arthur who was an unconstitutional President since he was born of a British father and not an American father, -a fact kept secret and replaced with the fallacy that his father became an American before he was born, instead of many years later.

If President Arthur was not born subject to the authority of the United States but by British law and American policy was born subject to British authority, then he was not even an American citizen when born, and thus was an illegitimate President whose appointment of Gray to the Supreme Court was also illegitimate.]

One result is reading it as if it says: "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, -within the jurisdiction thereof, is a citizen of the United States,".

The other consequence of Gray's distortion of the word "jurisdiction" was the un contemplated interpretation that all persons born in the United States, and subject to its authority, i.e., its laws, is a citizen of the United States. That comes with a huge logic error. It is the substitution or replacement of its actual meaning (political-authority) with a meaning of subjection to American civil laws instead.

Such subjection makes sense, “-everyone born in the U.S. and under the authority of its laws...” But, it makes no sense that Congress would write such an unnecessary thing into the Constitution itself. What is unnecessary? Stating that one must be under or subject to the authority of American civil laws.

That also is asinine because everyone without exception, including those with diplomatic immunity, are subject to American laws. Diplomatic immunity does not imply that one is not obligated to obey all laws of the host nation. It only means that if they are violated anyway, one is immune from prosecution, -but not free from the obligation to obey them.

No one is immune from the obligation to obey the civil laws, no one. So exactly what would be the reason to insert such a “requirement” into the Constitution when it is already a universally uncontested fact?

There is none because there are no exceptions for differentiation. Everyone is subject to the authority of the civil laws of America, but everyone is *not* subject to the full authority of the *political* laws that apply to the obligations of citizenship. Those laws, which exert full American sovereignty, only applied to American men. Only they were and are subject to the full force of American authority over citizens possessing what was once full citizenship.

Excluded (by universal consensus understanding) from national native-born citizenship (via fulfilling the subjection requirement of the amendment) were all persons born in America to those not subject to American political sovereignty while living or sojourning in the United States, i.e., Native Americans, foreign diplomats, as well as all foreign guests (visitors, tourists, students, etc.), and arguably, foreign immigrants and transient Gypsies.

As written, the meaning of the 14th Amendment, whose meaning the majority of the court completely changed, was that if one was subject to a foreign power (-as disallowed by the Civil Rights Act of 1866, -written the same year), as all foreigners were, then one was not “subject to the jurisdiction” of the American government.

Maybe twins can be subject to two different governments, but an individual cannot because he cannot

serve in the defense of two nations upon conscription during a war in which the two nations may be on opposite sides.

That was why immigrant foreigners, along with their native-born children, were excluded by Congress in 1862 from conscription into the Union Army for Civil War service. They were not Americans since they were foreigners, and foreigners were and are still subject to their own government until they renounce all allegiance and obedience to it by taking the naturalization Oath of Allegiance & Renunciation, thereby cutting their foreign loyalty and becoming American citizens.

So by an act of Congress written just four years before the 14th Amendment, immigrants were aliens (non-citizens) and their native-born children were aliens just like them since they were born subject to their father and he was subject to a foreign sovereign. Like father, like son, -neither one subject to the full political authority of the U.S. Government.

Although they were *within* (even born within) the jurisdiction, -the territory, -the domain of the United States, they were not *subject to* the political jurisdiction, -the national authority, -the sovereign dominion of the American government. They were exempt from the draft as aliens. Native-birth meant nothing. Parentage and ancestry and foreign subjection and allegiance meant everything.

That was the position of the American Congress, the executive branch, and the courts, until Justice Gray and his cohorts turned American policy, Congressional law, and prior Supreme Court rulings upside down in 1898 in their U.S. v Wong Kim Ark holding. After that, (-and the Attorney General’s expansion of their limited ruling regarding only immigrants’ children) the “law of the land” became that *anyone* born in the United States is a citizen, -immigrant or not, permanently domiciled or just passing through, Green Card holder or mere Visa Card visitor.

The Naturalization Act of 1795, Sec. II.; “...and be it further enacted, that any alien now residing within the limits and under the jurisdiction of the United States, may be admitted to become a citizen, on his declaring on oath or affirmation...that he has resided two years,

at least, *within* and *under* the jurisdiction of the same,..."

Obviously, "jurisdiction" has two meanings even when used in conjunction.

That means that being born *within* the jurisdiction is not the same as being *subject to* the jurisdiction, i.e., the authority. One can be within the jurisdiction (territory) and yet not be subject to the jurisdiction (authority) as was the case with those mentioned prior, along with outlaws and across-border invaders.

Inversely, one can be subject to the jurisdiction of American sovereignty over its citizens and yet not be *within* its jurisdiction (by living abroad). Foreign Americans (male) must be subject to the requirements of citizens, including registering with the Selective Service at 18 years of age, not trading with banned countries, nor giving aid and comfort to an enemy, and paying U.S. taxes.

That means that any reference to providing citizenship based on just birth within the United States alone is false because that ignores the subjection half of the 14th Amendment's requirements.

Half of the 14th Amendment requirement (subjection) was misunderstood, misinterpreted or ignored, chopped off, tossed away, and forgotten. As a result, today we are a nation that has forgotten its past, is unaware of its origins and foundations, and is seen as amazingly stupid or generous for allowing its citizenship to be bestowed on any child born of any woman who can make her way across our unprotected borders or allowed in for any reason.

What you have now read and understood cannot be found anywhere else. It has never been echoed nor pieced together by anyone else. It is a lone voice in a wilderness of ignorance, apathy, and prejudice against the truth, which you now know. Don't look for confirmation in anyone else's writing because there is none, -only the separate pieces of the puzzle. That's because of how great the darkness of ignorance is.

A match lit on a moonless night can illuminate fairly well. So also, a beacon of truth can illuminate the mind with the light of logic & fact regardless of how universal is the darkness into which it shines. But the

truth alone will have no impact on ending that darkness.

No other nation on Earth is so ignorant and brain-dead. They all just scratch their heads at what passes for American policy. Heck, the Kenyans even thought that we allowed a Kenyan citizen to become President. They all just marveled. But everyone was and is wrong. Wrong about the actual law, but...right about the institutionalized erroneous policy followed blindly by the knuckleheads running the American government. Their policy unfortunately does have the force of law and the real law doesn't change that.

When will the government fix the mistaken policy and toss out the error injected by justice Gray and his cohorts on the high court, -or at least the mindless extension of their reasonable change of national policy (inflicted by the Attorney General with his making even children of alien *non-immigrants* citizens)? Answer: Never.

Even if there was a movement to make a correction, the opposition in the Democrat Party would be insurmountable because they see them as future Democrat voters. So once an error of that magnitude is made, it becomes entrenched forever because of the strength of its support.

The dream of the Democrats is to see majority Democrat rule in both houses forever, along with control of the presidency and the courts so they can fundamentally change America into a nation with little influence from its foundational charter which they swear to preserve and protect with their lying oath of office.

They, like all RINOs, are liars and hypocrites who will doom our nation's future with their corrupt and profligate abuse of their spending & regulating authority. If the States don't start nullifying the gross over-reaching of the federal government, then it will definitely never end but will instead continue to grow like the cancer that it is. And you know how cancer ends. It kills its host.

The cancerous path we are on leads to a suicidal debt spiral which will "transform" not only America, but all of the civilized world with it, since they are following the same path. -A transformation into impoverishment and desolation.

There are only two directions: greater tyranny of government via over-regulation and taxation, greater debt and sky-rocketing interest costs, and greater violations of constitutional protections, or...diminishing government power, spending, regulation, employee numbers, benefits and entitlements accompanied by greater freedom and incentive to work hard and prosper.

Perhaps Obamacare will mark the tipping point as it wrecks havoc in the health care, insurance, taxation, and employment fields. With its vast over-reach, vast numbers of Americans will finally feel the sting of totalitarian, unconstitutional government. Maybe that will finally awaken them to the fact that the Ship of State -USS Titanic, is sinking.

If it does awaken them, will they do anything beyond shuffling the deck chairs? How can one hope for more when the entire Republican leadership is nothing but RINOs in cahoots with their socialist brethren in the traitorous party? They would rather fight the up-start constitutional conservatives in their own party than to oppose the big-spending, broadly-regulating national sell-outs in the opposition Deceptocrat party.

And why is there not even one eligible Republican in Congress worthy of being President and Commander in Chief? Why is almost no one in government a veteran of the most fundamental responsibility of citizenship (military service)? Talk about a vacuum of American leadership. The national political landscape is a pathetic, cowardly and corrupt travesty, and most of us are going to pay the price for that.

by Adrien Nash Jan. 2014 [obama--nation.com](http://obama--nation.com)