

## Festering Birth Certificate Questions the Press Will Never Ask

~Late April, 2011,...after the White House release of a pdf image of Barack Obama's "Certificate of Live Birth":

Thank you Mr. President for finally vetting yourself. We're glad it only took 3 years and not 7 or 8. You could have waited even longer if you wanted to, it was all up to you.

I hope we didn't annoy you or take up too much of your valuable vacation time with the issue of your eligibility. Uhhh...forgive me for bringing this up but I think the issue of your eligibility still exists (though no one seems to even have a clue that that is so). But about the vetting...uhhh who has vetted the new document that has been "released"?

I'd just like to know the name of the Hawaiian official who has certified under oath that it was sent from the Hawaiian Dept. of Health, and also what's the name of the document expert that has certified the thing to be genuine? What's that? It hasn't been vetted by anybody? Uhhhh....then we know it's not a counterfeit how?

Trust you, you say? Well, I kind of do, the document looks totally real but then I'm no expert so forgive me if I don't trust in my own document verification skills. I'd like to "trust but verify" by letting a real document expert have a peek at it. Would that be OK?

No? But why not? I'm sure it would be good to just get this all behind us, so won't you just lend it to an impartial expert for an hour? Would that not be something the American people should expect of their President?

I don't want to be disrespectful, but just for the sake of history, it would look better if you allowed someone to fully vet your birth certificate. Think of it as a teeny-weeny version of the FBI background check that you were never subjected to.

Is there some reason for which you can't allow it to be out of your sight? Come to think of it, has it ever been *in* your sight?

When you appeared in the press room on the occasion of its release, it was whisked away by your White House lawyer before you entered the room? Was that meant to avoid any connection between it and you?

And why did he resign the very next day and put some plausible-deniability distance between himself and you, -the President? Was he more valuable to you as your new private attorney than he was as your White House council? What would be the reason for the reversal of your relationship with the man who "obtained" the "two copies" for you just days before?

During your appearance in the Press Briefing Room, was there some reason that you failed to even mention the document that was the reason for your appearance before the cameras and the press?

Why did you avoid any contact with, proximity to, or mention of what was to be the final and pre-eminent proof of your identity and place of birth? Would you please share whatever is the simple explanation for what some folks might view as strange behavior? Why not defuse their suspicions before they smolder and explode into a full blown conspiracy theory?

Can you please display it in the Press room so the nation's reporters can see, scrutinize and photograph it? No? We only get the pdf digital file and the B&W printout made from it?

But if we are allowed to download and examine the high resolution pdf, then what's wrong with examining the real thing? After all, you ordered two of them according to the letters you made public.

Why can't the American people have access to the only form of proof of who it is that they entrusted with all the power and might of the nation?

The answer is still "no"? Such a refusal might inspire one to postulate a reason for it. A reason such as "maybe the pdf is the only version that exists".(!) "Maybe there are no "two copies" on actual State-Seal certified Hawaiian document paper."

Of course if that were actually true, then that would mean that the facts have been misrepresented, -that the whole story of procuring them via special permission is an elaborate fiction with deception as its sole motive.

Of course that would mean that the pdf is a big question mark, leaving reasonable people to wonder; "where did it come from? Did it come from the Hawaiian government? If so, why would they issue such a thing to anybody? If not, then who the heck made it, and by whose order?"

Wouldn't you like to head these questions off at the pass? Why let them fester unanswered? That surely is in no one's best interest, -or is it?

I know,.. more questions, -more suspicions. I apologize, but I simply can't help myself. Curiosity just seems to be a part of my nature. For instances, I'm really, really curious to know how the pdf came to have 9 layers that no scanner in the world would have produced.

Was it supposed to be flattened before being put online? Was there some sort of rushed last-minute over-sight? That would explain the layers being visible....but, what exactly explains their existence?

I'm sure there must be an innocent explanation, like perhaps that's how the Hawaiian software process assembles the digital abstract images that are then printed as birth certificates.

What I can't understand is why Hawaii would allow such a proprietary file out of their office and into the hands of everyone on the planet with internet access by allowing the White House to post it unflattened online. Could you please ex-

plain the logic behind that decision, if it is something that you verify actually happened.

That word, "verify", is one that has been troubling me. It seems that you have "verified" the circumstances of your birth, but what isn't clear is how does one verify the veracity of the verification?

How can one verify that which no one can see or touch? And even if they could, what can an abstract version of a birth record be certified as being? An abstract can't be certified to be a True Copy since it isn't a copy of any document, so what is certified by an abstract with no official signature?

How can any document be "certified" by a rubber signature stamp? How can one suspect that the signature might be a forgery is it isn't even a signature at all, but just a computer-printed or rubber-stamped facsimile? Does a Notary Public rely solely on that level of verification anywhere in the nation? What would notarized documents be worth if none of them were physically signed and embossed with a notary seal?

And speaking of an embossed seal, why did the image of your Certificate of Live Birth have no evidence of having been embossed with the Hawaiian seal as all certified state documents would be? One wouldn't expect that to be possible unless the image was.....say.....made from 9 layers cobbled together on a computer and not resulting from a scanner out-put.

But if that were the way it was made, that would mean that it was a fictional representation (-or misrepresentation) of an official state document.

Hmmmm, isn't there a name for that? Isn't that what they call a "counterfeit"? If so, wouldn't that make you the Counterfeiter-in-Chief?

By A.R. Nash June 2012  
<http://obama--nation.com>  
[http://wordpress.com/obama\\_bc](http://wordpress.com/obama_bc)